

1 FABIO ELIA MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825)
fmarino@orrick.com
2 DENISE M. MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 135224)
dmingrone@orrick.com
3 SIDDHARTHA VENKATESAN (STATE BAR NO. 245008)
svenkatesan@orrick.com
4 CHRISTINA VON DER AHE (STATE BAR NO. 255467)
cvonderahe@orrick.com
5 NITIN GAMBHIR (STATE BAR NO. 259906)
ngambhir@orrick.com
6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: 650-614-7400
8 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND
FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS
16 SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,
17 and FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California
corporation; LEE CHEN, an individual;
21 RAJKUMAR JALAN; an individual; RON
SZETO, an individual; DAVID CHEUNG, an
individual; LIANG HAN, an individual; and
22 STEVEN HWANG, an individual,

23 Defendants.

25 Case No. 10-cv-03428 LHK

26 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**
BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS
SYSTEMS, INC. AND FOUNDRY
NETWORKS, LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE *UNDER SEAL*
PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY
AND SUPPLEMENTAL
DECLARATIONS OF ROBERT
YOUNG AND MANI KANCHERLA IN
SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ,AS MODIFIED

26

27

28

1 The Court, having considered Brocade Communications, Inc. and Foundry Networks
2 LLC’s (“Plaintiffs”) administrative motion for leave to file under seal their Reply in Support of
3 Their Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (“Reply”); Plaintiffs’
4 Evidentiary Objections To The Declaration Of David Klausner In Support Of A10 Networks,
5 Inc’s Opposition To Motion For Temporary Restraining Order And Preliminary Injunction
6 (“Evidentiary Objections”); Supplemental Declaration of Robert Young In Support of Plaintiffs’
7 Reply (“Young Supplemental Declaration”); and Supplemental Declaration of Mani Kancherla In
8 Support of Plaintiffs’ Reply (“Kancherla Supplemental Declaration”) and having found good
9 cause to do so, it is HEREBY ORDERED that said leave is GRANTED in its entirety.

10 The Plaintiffs may file under seal **Plaintiffs' Reply, Evidentiary Objections, the Young**
11 **Supplemental Declaration, and the Kancherla Supplemental Declaration.**

Plaintiffs shall comply with G.O. 62 in electronically filing the sealed information.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 15 50 GRANADA

16 Dated: August 15, 2011

Honorable Lucy H. Koh
United States District Court Judge